Case 09-14-1		Filed 09/14/11 ¹⁴ /2011 16:492 7148364449 ;	P ^{#802} ID 915/012 # 3/ 15
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mike Rodenbaugh California Bar No. 179059 Erin D. Vivion California Bar No. 262599 RODENBAUGH LAW 548 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 738-8087 Attorneys for Plaintiff Virtual Point Inc. UNITED STATES DIS CENTRAL DISTRICT	STRICT COURT OF CALIFORNIA	FILED 2011 SEP 14 PM 4: 22 CLEVIUS DEVENTION CALIF.
9	VIRTUAL POINT INC., a California corporation dba CrossPath,	Case No. SACV11-014	25 CJC (ANx)
10 11	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR:	
12	VB.	: 1. Declaratory Judgment c ; Trademark Infringemen	of no
13	OXYMAGIC FRANCHISE DEVELOPMENT, a Oklahoma corporation,	n : 2. Declaratory Judgment of	
14	Defendant.	: Unfair Competition;	
15 16		 3. Declaratory Judgment of violation of the Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection Action 	
17		: 4. Declaratory Judgment fl	hat
18		: Plaintiff is rightful hold : <oxymagic.com> dome : name;</oxymagic.com>	er of lin
19 20		5. Common Law Unfair Competition; and	
21 22		 Unfair Competition Unc Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code 17200 	
23		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
24			
25	Plaintiff Virtual Point Inc. dba CrossPath ("	'Plaintiff'), by its attorney, for its	
26	Complaint alleges:		
	Complaint - 1	Rodeubaugh J.sw 548 Market Street Sun Francisco, CA 94104 415.738.8087	

1

NATURE OF ACTION

1							
2	This action seeks a declaratory judgment that Plaintiff's registration and use of the						
3	domain name <oxymagic.com> (hereinafter "the Domain Name") does not constitute</oxymagic.com>						
4	trademark infringement, unfair competition, or a violation of the Anti-cybersquatting						
5	Consumer Protection Act ("ACPA"), and that Plaintiff is the rightful registered name holder						
6	or registrant of the Domain Name. This action also seeks relief for Defendant's bad faith						
7	actions constituting common law unfair competition and unfair competition under California						
8	Business & Professions Code Sec. 17200.						
9	JURISDICTION AND VENUE						
10	1. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201(a) and 2202, Plaintiff seeks a declaration and						
11	judgment regarding its rights and obligations in an actual controversy within this						
12	Court's jurisdiction, concerning Plaintiff's rights in and to the Domain Name.						
13	Subject matter jurisdiction exists in this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, giving						
14	this Court original jurisdiction in a civil action raising a federal question under 28						
15	U.S.C. § 1338(a), the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1051, et seq., and the ACPA, 15						
16	U.S.C. § 1125(d), giving this Court original and exclusive jurisdiction in a civil						
17	action arising under the trademark and cybersquatting laws of the United States.						
18	Pendent jurisdiction exists over the state law claims.						
19	2. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because the Defendant has						
20	sufficient contacts with the State of California and this judicial District subjecting it						
21	to the general and specific personal jurisdiction of this Court pursuant to Cal. Code						
22	Civ. Pro. § 410.10. Defendant has purposefully availed itself to this forum through						
23	general business presence and by demanding that Plaintiff cease use of the Domain						
24	Name and transfer same to Defendant.						
25							

26

Complaint - 2

1	3.	Venue is proper in this Judicial District under 28 U.S.C §§ 1391, because the Court
2		has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant and because Plaintiff's claims arise
3		from Defendant's activities in this District.
4		THE PARTIES
5	4.	Plaintiff is a California corporation with its principal place of business in Irvine,
6		California.
7	5.	Plaintiff is a web development company who has developed several businesses and
8		websites including without limitation: UDRPSEARCH.COM,
9		SONICGAMES.COM, HDDVD.COM, DVDUNIVERSE.COM, and
10		GALACTICA.COM.
11	6.	Upon information and belief, Defendant Oxymagic Franchise Development is an
12		Oklahoma corporation with its principal place of business at 708 W. Briarwood
13		Circle, Broken Arrow, OK 74011.
14	7.	Upon information and belief, Defendant is a company that offers franchise
15		opportunities for third parties to operate carpet cleaning services under a design mark
16		that includes the term OxyMagic.
17		FACTUAL BACKGROUND
18		Introduction
19	8.	Domain Names are essentially Internet Protocol addresses that point and direct
20		Internet users to their desired destination, and they are valuable pieces of property
21		that many liken to the new "real estate" of our burgeoning virtual society. Domain
22		name and website development is a legitimate and important industry. Plaintiff is a
23		prominent and respected web development company.
24	9.	Recently, many overreaching trademark owners have sought to capitalize on this
25		thriving market by filing baseless trademark infringement lawsuits or taking
26		advantage of the administrative system set up by ICANN (the California corporation
		Rodenbaugh Law

1	that administers the Domain Name System ("DNS")), and using them to intimidate
2	domain name holders into transfer and to swipe valuable descriptive, generic,
3	keyword and/or dictionary domain names away from their rightful owners. These
4	abusive lawsuits are threatening meaningful development of domain name and
5	Internet investment and innovation.
6	10. Such is the situation that is before the Court in this Complaint. Defendant has
7	accused Plaintiff numerous times of infringing its trademark and has specifically
8	threatened litigation against Plaintiff by requesting its address for legal service of
9	process and demanding transfer of the Domain Name to Defendant.
10	11. These serious and baseless accusations and threats establish an actual controversy
11	between the parties and thus Plaintiff requests that this Court grant declaratory and
12	affirmative relief in its favor.
13	The Domain Name Registration
14	12. Plaintiff's administrative manager registered the Domain Name <oxymagic.com> in</oxymagic.com>
15	good faith on or about May 24, 2011.
16	13. Upon information and belief, the Domain Name previously was registered by a
17	different registrant from at least 2003 to 2011, unrelated to Plaintiff or Defendant.
18	14. Thus it appears Defendant has been unconcerned with the registration of the Domain
19	Name for at least <i>eight years</i> before threatening this legal action against the Plaintiff.
20	<u>The Use</u>
21	15. After registering the Domain Name, Plaintiff had placed the website under
22	construction, with a directory of content unrelated to carpet cleaning, pending
23	potential site launch.
24	16. Plaintiff has not used the Domain Name in connection with goods or services related
25	to those registered in connection with Defendant's mark. Neither did Plaintiff
26	register the Domain Name with the intent to sell it to Defendant. Plaintiff has never
	Complaint - 4 S48 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94104

1	offered to sell the Domain Name to Defendant, nor attempted to disrupt the
2	Defendant's business by confusing consumers trying to find the Defendant's website,
3	or otherwise.
4	17. Content at the website has never been focused upon Defendant, Defendant's
5	business, or Defendant's competitors, but instead has displayed and linked to a
6	variety of information and advertising content relevant to web users who visit the
7	site, pending development of a more complete website.
8	The Weak Trademark
9	18. The term "OxyMagic" is composed of two dictionary words that are both commonly
10	used in the English language in a large number of generic or descriptive ways, and
11	also as a part of many companies' trademarks.
12	19. There are several active US trademark registrations, not owned by Defendant, that
13	use the terms "Oxy" or "Oxi" and "Magic" together, including without limitation
14	OXYMAGIC (which has at least one live individual registration owned by a
15	different registrant who is not Defendant), and OXIMAGIC (which has at least two
16	live individual registrations owned by two different registrants who are not
17	Defendant).
18	20. There are some 143 active trademark records in the US Patent and Trademark Office
19	that contain the word OXY, virtually all of which are not owned by Defendant.
20	More than 175 more contain the word 'oxygen', which the USPTO deems to be
21	essentially the same word as OXY.
22	21. There are more than 2500 active trademark records in the US Patent and Trademark
23	Office that contain the word MAGIC, virtually all of which are not owned by
24	Defendant.
25	22. There are hundreds or thousands of legitimate uses of the formatives "oxy" (or
26	"oxi") together with "magic" in trademarks, domain names and websites owned by
	Complaint - 5

1	third parties – in addition to OXYMAGIC.COM including without limitation a
2	wood floor refinishing service, a stain removal pen, a face cream, several different
3	cleaning solutions and a model of refrigerator.
4	23. Defendant does not own and cannot rightfully claim exclusive rights to use of the
5	common words OXY and MAGIC, separate or apart, as used in a domain name,
6	trademark or otherwise. At best, Defendant's trademark rights are weak and
7	narrowly limited to the field of carpet cleaning.
8	Defendant's Activities That Create a Justiciable Controversy
9	24. On September 8, 2011 a representative for Defendant sent three separate
10	communications, each accusing Plaintiff of infringing Defendant's trademark rights.
11	Within these emails the Defendant also demanded transfer of the Domain Name and
12	sought Plaintiff's address for legal service of process.
13	25. On September 9, 2011, Plaintiff responded to Defendant via email explaining that
14	Plaintiff was not using the Domain Name in an infringing manner.
15	26. Plaintiff refuses to transfer its rightful property to the Defendant, or to anyone else.
16	27. Defendant's actions and communications show a clear intent to use any means
17	necessary to obtain the Domain Name, including federal litigation. Thus an actual,
18	substantial and immediate controversy exists, justifying the declaratory relief that
19	Plaintiff seeks.
20	Defendant's Activities that Create a Claim for Unfair Competition
21	28. Defendant operates a franchising business, with its franchisees offering carpet
22	cleaning services under the OxyMagic design mark.
23	29. Plaintiff has not used the Domain Name for goods and services related to carpet
24	cleaning. Plaintiff has not used the Domain Name to offer any goods or services that
25	are related to or compete with those of Defendant, nor for any other illegitimate
26	purpose.

1	30. Defendant's accusations of trademark infringement are objectively specious and
2	baseless, and have been made in bad faith in an effort to obtain ownership of the
3	Domain Name.
4	COUNT I: CLAIM FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF
5	31. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1-30 of this Complaint.
6	32. Plaintiff rightfully registered the Domain Name in good faith, and with no intent to
7	sell the Domain Name to Defendant. Plaintiff had no intention of confusing any of
8	Defendant's customers or diverting any traffic from Defendant's websites.
9	33. "Oxy" and "magic" are common, generic, dictionary terms that are commonly used
10	in many business names, trademarks and domain names. Defendant has no exclusive
11	right to the use of the term "OxyMagic", or the words "Oxy" and "Magic" in a
12	trademark, domain name or otherwise, at least not outside of its field of business –
13	carpet cleaning.
14	34. Plaintiff believed and had reasonable grounds to believe that the registration and its
15	use of the Domain Name was and is lawful.
16	35. Defendant has threatened legal proceedings, contending that Plaintiff used the
17	Domain Name in violation of trademark and unfair competition laws.
18	36. A justiciable controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendant.
19	37. To resolve this actual controversy, Plaintiff seeks a declaration and judgment that it
20	is not infringing Defendant's trademark rights, that Plaintiff is not violating unfair
21	competition laws and/or the ACPA, that its registration and use of the Domain Name
22	is a good faith use, and that Plaintiff is the rightful owner of the Domain Name.
23	COUNT II: CLAIM FOR COMMON LAW UNFAIR COMPETITION
24	38. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1-37 of this Complaint.
25	39. Defendant has threatened legal proceedings, contending that Plaintiff used the
26	Domain Name in violation of trademark and unfair competition laws.

1	40. Defendant's wrongful and baseless accusations of infringement have created a cloud								
2	on Plaintiff's title to the Domain Name.								
3	41. As a result of Defendants' past and continued wrongful acts, Plaintiff has incurred								
4	damages in an amount to be proved at trial, including compensation for Plaintiff's								
5	time, effort and attorneys' fees in defending against Defendant's baseless claims.								
6	<u>COUNT III: CLAIM FOR UNFAIR COMPETITION</u> <u>UNDER CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE SEC. 17200</u>								
7									
8	42. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1-41 of this Complaint.								
9	43. Defendants' wrongful acts, as described in this Complaint, cause damage to Plaintiff								
10	and injure its business, in violation of section 17200 of the California Business and								
11	Professions Code.								
12	44. As a result of Defendants' past and continued wrongful acts, Plaintiff has incurred								
13	damages in an amount to be proved at trial, including compensation for Plaintiff's								
14									
15	time, effort and attorneys' fees in defending against Defendant's baseless claims.								
16	PRAYER FOR RELIEF								
17	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:								
18	a. a declaration that Plaintiff is not infringing the trademark rights of Defendant;								
19	b. a declaration that Plaintiff is not violating unfair competition law;								
20	c. a declaration that Plaintiff is not violating the ACPA;								
21									
22	d. a declaration that Plaintiff registered and used the Domain Name in good faith								
23	and is the rightful registrant of the Domain Name;								
24	e. a finding awarding Plaintiff monetary compensation for damages sustained by								
25	Defendants' wrongful actions as alleged in this Complaint;								
26	f. an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses; and								
	Complaint - 8 Rodenbaugh Law 548 Market Street								

1	g.	such other and further relief as the	Court may deem just and proper.
2			
3		DEMAND I	FOR JURY TRIAL
4	Pl	aintiff respectfully requests that all is	ssues in this case be decided by a jury.
5			
6	Dated:	September 14, 2011	RODENBAUGH LAW
7			By: Mike Rodenbaugh
8			By: MMU No denbaugh
9			RODENBAUGH LAW 584 Market Street
10 11			San Francisco, CA 94014 Tel/fax: (415) 738-8087
12			Attorney for Plaintiff
13			Virtual Point Inc.
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
	Complaint	t - 9	Rodenbaugh Law 548 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94104 415.738.8087

Name & Address: OXYMAGIC FRANCHISE DEVELOPMENT 708 W. Briarwood Circle Broken Arrow, OK 74011						
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
VIRTUAL POINT INC., a California corporation dba	CASE NUMBER					
CrossPath						
PLAINTIFF(S) V.	SACV11-01425 CJC (ANx)					
OXYMAGIC FRANCHISE DEVELOPMENT, an						
Oklahoma corporation	SUMMONS					
DEFENDANT(S).						

TO: DEFENDANT(S): OXYMAGIC FRANCHISE DEVELOPMENT

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 2/ days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it), you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached \square complaint \square ______ amended complaint \square counterclaim \square cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, <u>Mike Rodenbaugh</u>, whose address is 548 Market Street, Box 55819, San Francisco, CA 94104 ______. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

	Clerk, U.S. District Court
Dated: September 14, 2011	ROLLS ROYCE RASCHAL
	Deputy Clerk
	(Seal of the Court) 1144

[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].

Case 8:11-cv-01425-CJC -AN Document 1 Filed 09/14/11 Page 11 of 12 Page ID #:15 09-14-11;13:34 ; 17148364449 ; # 14/ 15

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself D) VIRTUAL POINT INC., a California corporation dba CrossPath				D	EFENDANTS OXYMAGIC FRAN	CHIS	E DRVJ	ELOI'MENT, an D)kishoins corpur	ution		
	yourself, provide same.)		nd Telephone Number. 1f . Bux 55819, San Francisc	•		tomeys (If Knuwn)						
	Mike Rodenbaugh											
11. B.	ASIS OF JURISDICTIO	N (Plac	e un X in ant box anly.)			IP UF PRINCIPAL.				s Only		
DIL	.S. Government Plaintiff		Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party	/)	Citizen of This Sta	PTF DEF PTF Citizen of This State D 1 D 1 Incomposited or Principal Place D 4 of Business in this State				DEF O 4		
02U	.S. Government Defendati	н П4	Diversity (Indicate Citiz of Partics in Item III)	enship	Citizen of Another	Sune	D 2	02	Incorporated and of Business in Ar		0 5	LI \$
					Citizen or Subject	of a Foreign Country	Π.)	Ο3	Foreign Nation		ជ៍ឲ	□6
IV. C	RIGIN (Place an X in or	is box a	nly.)		• • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
តវា (·	ed from			instated or FIS T copened	musterred from anoth	her dist	arict (spo	Distr	ict Jud	cal to I ge from distrate	
Υ. R	EQUESTED IN COMPL	AINT:	JURY DEMAND: M	Yes 🗆	No (Check 'Yes' o	nly if demanded in co	mplain	iL.)				
CLAS	S ACTION under F.R.C	LP, 23;			ш ма	NEY DEMANDED	IN CO	MPLA	LNT: S			
			S. Civil Statute under whi	ch vou	m filing and write a	brief statement of ca	nse. D	lo not ci	te inrisdictional st	atutes miess div	encity 1	
			:, 15 U.S.C. §§1051 et se		-							
	NATURE OF SUIT (Plac											
	THER STATUTES :	T	CONTRACT	···. ;	TÖRTS	TORTS	•		RISONER		OR -	
	State Reapportionment	P.	Insurance	•	SONAL INURY	PERSONAL	·		ETTTIONS	. – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –	و دامسوا د و	
	Antitrust		Murine		Airplane	PROPERTY	, i	• • •	Motions to	Act		, on at
	Banks and Banking		Miller Act	0.315	Airplane Product	370 Other Fraud			Vacate Sentence	1 720 Labor/	Mgmt.	
D 450	Commerce/ICC	140	Negotiable Instrument		Liability	371 Truth in Les	nding		Habeas Corpus	Relatio	116	
	Rates etc.	0 150	Recovery of	11 320	Assault, Libel &	380 Other Perso			General	[] 730 Labor/!		
	Deportation	1	Overpayment of	1 330	Slander Fed, Employers*				Death Penalty	Report		
CI 470	Rucketeer influenced	1	Enforcement of		Liability	385 Property Da		LJ 540		Disclos		
	and Corrupt		Judgment	1340	Marine	Product Lin BANKRUPTC			Other Civil Rights	1740 Railway		ACI
CT 4 20	Organizations Consumer Credit		Medicare Act Recovery of Defaulted	0 345	Marine Product	1 422 Appeal 28 (Prison Condition	Litigati		
	Cable/Sat TV		Student Loan (Excl.	ł	Linbility	158			RFEITURE	□ 791 Empl. J		
	Selective Service		Veterans)		Motor Vehicle	D 423 Withdrawal	28		ENALTY	Securit		
	Securities/Commodities/	0 153		LJ 355	Mutor Vehicle Product Liability	USC 157			Agriculture	PROPERT		rs
	Exchange		Overpayment of	17 360	Other Personal	CIVIL RIGHT	s .	🗅 620	Other Fond &	B 820 Copyri	ents.	
🗆 R75	Customer Challenge 12	1	Veteran's Benefits	1 3	Injury	441 Voting			Drug	B30 Putent		
	USC 3410	0 160	Stockholders' Suits	D 362	Personal Injury-	1442 Employmen			Drug Related	06 640 Tradem		
CI 890	Other Statutory Actions		Other Contract		Med Malpructice	☐ 443 Housing/Ac			Scizure of	SOCIAL S		TY :
	Agricultural Act	0 195	Contract Product	Ц 365	Ferantial Injury-	nunoclations			Property 21 USC	B61 HIA L		121
1 892	Economic Stabilization		Liability	- 141	Product Liability	□ 444 Welfure □ 445 American w			88) Liquor Lawa	D 863 DIWC		£.1)
רייק ה	Act Environmental Matters		Franchise REAL PROPERTY	LL 308	Asbestos Personal Injury Product	Disabilitics			R.R. & Truck	(405(µ)		
	Environmental Matters Energy Allocation Act		Land Condemnation	1	Liability	Employment				EI 864 SSID T		1
	Freedom of Jufo. Act	220	Forcelosure	E D	MICRATION	D 446 American w			Occupational	0 865 RSI (40		
	Appeal of Fee Determi-	230	Rent Lesse & Ejcement	0 462	Naturalization	Disabilities			Safery /Health	FEDERAL T		TS
	nation Under Lous		Tons to Land		Application	Other	ļ	0690		🗆 870 Taxes (
	Access to Justice		Tort Product Linbility	463	Habeas Curpits	440 Other Civil				or Defe		
[] 950	Constitutionality of		All Other Real Property	- Arr	Alien Detainee	Rights				🗆 871 IRS-Th		y 26
	State Statutes			၂၀ ရလ	Other Immigration Actions					USC 76	iU9	
		Į		1	energinitz.							
		1		L		1						

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:

SACV11-01425 CJC (ANx)

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

Sep 14 1, 01:45p: 11-cV-01425-CJC-AN Document 1 Filed 09/14/11 Page 12 of 12 Page ID #:16

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES; Has this action been previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed? \square No \square Yes If yes, list case number(s):

VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have any cases been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case? \blacksquare No \Box Yes If yes, list case number(s):

Civil cases are deemed related if a previously filed case and the present case:

(Check all boxes that apply) 🗌 A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, wr events; or

- B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or
- \square C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or
- D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.

IX. VENUE: (When completing the following information, use an additional sheet if necessary.)

(a) List the County in this District: California County outside of this District: State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides.
 Check here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named plaintiff. If this box is checked, go to item (b).

County in this District:*	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country
Orange County	

(b) List the County in this District: California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides.
 Check here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named defendant. If this box is checked, go to item (c).

County in this District:*	California County outside of this District: State, if other than California; or Foreign Country
	Oklahoma

County in this District:*	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country
Orange County	

* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Counties Note: In land condemnation cases, as the location of the tract of landinyolge durin unit

X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER):	Nike Codenband	Date Septembe	r 14, 2011
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	

Notice to Counsel/Parties: The CV-71 (JS-44) Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rale 3.J is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the purpose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)

Key to Statistical codes relating to Social Security Cases:

Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))
86.5	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended (42.11.5.0° 405(o))